

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/668,428	RICCI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	George R. Evanisko	3762

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) George R. Evanisko.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Marvin Beekman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 November 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11-16, 18-20

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*cancellation of claims 11-16 without prejudice due to an election by original presentation. In addition, the dependency of claims 18-20 were changed due to a minor claim objection since they should depend from claim 17.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)